1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al., CASE NO. C70-9213 RSM; and 8 Plaintiffs, SUBPROCEEDING NO. 83-06 RSM; and 9 SUBPROCEEDING NO. 90-01 RSM v. 10 STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al., MINUTE ORDER STRIKING MOTIONS TO WITHDRAW 11 Defendants. 12 13 The following MINUTE ORDER is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable 14 Ricardo S. Martinez, Chief United States District Judge: 15 On August 18, 2022, attorney Michael A.D. Stanley filed three identical motions seeking 16 to withdraw from his representation of the State of Alaska in the main case and two of its 17 subproceedings: 18 • United States v. Washington, C70-9213 RSM, Dkt. #22,546. 19 • *United States v. Washington*, Subproceeding 83-06 RSM, Dkt. #400. 20 • United States v. Washington, Subproceeding 90-01 RSM, Dkt. #252. 21 Though the motions noted the applicability of Local Civil Rule 83.2(b)(1), and satisfied many of 22 that rules' requirements, it did not "include a certification that the motion was served on the 23 client." LOCAL RULES W.D. WASH. LCR 83.2(b)(1). Specifically, there is no indication, other 24 ORDER – 1

Case 2:70-cv-09213-RSM Document 22547 Filed 08/19/22 Page 2 of 2

than the non-renewal of a contract between Mr. Stanley and the State of Alaska, that the State of Alaska was ever provided notice or served a copy of the motions. Accordingly, the Court strikes the motions as procedurally improper. Counsel may refile his motions after complying with all requirements of Local Civil Rule 83.2(b)(1). DATED this 19th day of August, 2022. RAVI SUBRAMANIAN, Clerk By: /s/ Michael Williams Deputy Clerk

ORDER - 2